

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,803	3	11/19/2001	Nicolas Pierre Georges Certain	2-1032-178	7350
803	759	90 12/30/2004		EXAMINER	
STURN 206 SIX			KING, BRADLEY T		
SUITE		ENCE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MO	DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076			3683	
				DATE MAILED: 12/30/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/992,803	CERTAIN, NICOLAS PIERRE GEORGES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bradley T King	3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a simely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contro	if extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-15.17 and 18.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	, , , , , <u>-</u>	
		Robert Seconofi 12/20/1

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment pressents a new combination of claim limitations which requires further consideration.